

Generic Curves and non-coprime Catalans

Eugene Gorsky¹, Mikhail Mazin², Alexei Oblomkov³

¹UC Davis, ²Kansas State University, ³UMass Amherst

Outline

We compute the Poincaré polynomials of the compactified Jacobians for plane curve singularities

$$x = t^{nd}, y = t^{md} + \lambda t^{md+1} + \dots, \lambda \neq 0$$

and relate them to the q, t -Catalan combinatorics in the non-coprime case.

Compactified Jacobians and Semigroups

Let C be a plane curve singularity parametrized by $(x(t), y(t))$, and let $\mathcal{O}_C = \mathbb{C}[[x(t), y(t)]] \subset \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the local ring of functions on C . For $f(t) \in \mathcal{O}_C$, write

$$f(t) = \alpha_k t^k + \alpha_{k+1} t^{k+1} + \dots, \alpha_k \neq 0$$

and set $\text{Ord} f(t) := k$. The compactified Jacobian \overline{JC} is defined as the moduli space of \mathcal{O}_C -submodules $M \subset \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Note that M is an \mathcal{O}_C -submodule if and only if $x(t)M \subset M, y(t)M \subset M$. Given such a subspace M , we define

$$\Delta_M = \{\text{Ord} f(t) : f(t) \in M\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

In particular, for $M = \mathcal{O}_C$ we obtain the **semigroup** of C :

$$\Gamma = \Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C} = \{\text{Ord} f(t) : f(t) \in \mathcal{O}_C\}.$$

If M is an \mathcal{O}_C -submodule then Δ_M is a Γ -module: $\Delta_M + \Gamma \subset \Delta_M$.

Definition

Given a subset $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define the stratum in the compactified Jacobian:

$$J_\Delta := \{M \subset \mathbb{C}[[t]] : \mathcal{O}_C M \subset M, \Delta_M = \Delta\}.$$

Clearly, the strata J_Δ give a subdivision of \overline{JC} and J_Δ is empty if Δ is not Γ -invariant. However, J_Δ could be empty even if Δ is Γ -invariant.

Example

Consider the curve $(x(t), y(t)) = (t^4, t^6 + t^7)$. It is easy to see that $y^2(t) - x^3(t) = 2t^{13} + t^{14}$ has order 13, and one can check that the semigroup Γ in this case is generated by 4, 6 and 13. The subset

$$\Delta = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$$

is clearly Γ -invariant. Suppose that $M \subset J_\Delta$, and $f_0 = 1 + at + \dots$ and $f_2 = t^2 + bt^3 + \dots$ are two elements of M of orders 0 and 2 respectively. Then

$$y(t)f_0 - x(t)f_2 = (t^6 + t^7)(1 + at + \dots) - t^4(t^2 + bt^3 + \dots) = (a + 1 - b)t^7 + \dots,$$

$$x^2(t)f_0 - y(t)f_2 = t^8(1 + at + \dots) - (t^6 + t^7)(t^2 + bt^3 + \dots) = (a - b - 1)t^9 + \dots$$

Observe that $a + 1 - b$ and $a - b - 1$ cannot vanish at the same time, so either M contains an element of order 7 or an element of order 9, which is a contradiction, since $7 \notin \Delta$ and $9 \notin \Delta$. Therefore the corresponding stratum J_Δ is empty.

Any unbranched planar curve C can be parametrized using Puiseux expansion:

$$x = t^{nd}, y = t^{md} + \lambda t^{md+1} + \dots, \text{GCD}(m, n) = 1.$$

If $d = 1$ then the semigroup of C is generated by m and n and the link of C is the (n, m) torus knot.

Assume that $d > 1$. Then a curve C is called a **generic curve** if $\lambda \neq 0$. It is easy to see that the definition of a generic curve is symmetric in n and m . The semigroup Γ of a generic curve is generated by dn, dm and $dnm + 1$. The corresponding knot as a $(d, mnd + 1)$ -cable of the (n, m) torus knot.

Invariant Subsets and the Cell Decomposition

We call a subset $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ an *(nd)-invariant subset* if $nd + \Delta \subset \Delta$. A number a is called an *(nd)-generator* of Δ if $a \in \Delta$ but $a - nd \notin \Delta$. Let A denote the set of all *(nd)-generators* of Δ .

For any element $b \in \Delta$ let us use the notation

$$\text{Gaps}(b) := \{c \in \mathbb{Z} | c > b, c \notin \Delta\}.$$

Furthermore, set

$$G(\Delta) := \sum_{a \in A} |\text{Gaps}(a)| \quad \text{and} \quad E(\Delta) := \sum_{a \in A} |\text{Gaps}(a + dm)|.$$

We show that J_Δ is an affine subvariety inside $\mathbb{C}^{G(\Delta)}$ defined by $E(\Delta)$ equations. However, as we saw in the Example above, sometimes the equations have no solutions. It is convenient to split Δ into d pieces according to the remainders modulo d . We write

$$\Delta_j := \Delta \cap (d\mathbb{Z} + j), \quad \Delta = \bigcup_{j=0, \dots, d-1} \Delta_j.$$

Definition

We call Δ *admissible*, if for every j one has $\Delta_{j+1} \not\subset \Delta_j + md + nd + 1$.

We prove that for an inadmissible subset Δ the set of equations defined above is inconsistent, while for an admissible subset Δ the equations are essentially independent and can be used to eliminate parameters:

Theorem

$$J_\Delta = \emptyset \text{ unless } \Delta \text{ is admissible, in which case}$$

$$J_\Delta = \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\Delta)}, \quad \dim(\Delta) = G(\Delta) - E(\Delta).$$

Continuing the Example above, one gets:

Example

We have $n = 2, m = 3$, and $d = 2$. For the subset

$$\Delta = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$$

one gets

$$\Delta_0 = \{0, 2, 4, 8, \dots\} = 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_1 = \{13, 15, \dots\} = 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + 13.$$

One gets $nd + md + 1 = 4 + 6 + 1 = 11$ and

$$\Delta_1 \subset \Delta_0 + nd + md + 1.$$

Hence Δ is inadmissible.

Note that even though the semigroup Γ of a generic curve is generated by dn, dm , and $dnm + 1$, one doesn't have to require Δ to be $(dnm + 1)$ -invariant. This is due to the following elementary observation:

Lemma

Any admissible (nd, md) -invariant subset is also $(dnm + 1)$ -invariant.

Combinatorics

Let $\text{Inv}(dm, dn)$ be the set of all cofinite 0-normalized (dm, dn) -invariant subsets:

$$\text{Inv}(dm, dn) := \{\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} | 0 \in \Delta, \Delta + dn \subset \Delta, \Delta + dm \subset \Delta, |\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \Delta| < \infty\}$$

Let also $\text{Dyck}(dm, dn)$ be the set of all (dn, dm) Dyck paths, i.e. south-west lattice paths connecting $(0, dn)$ to $(dm, 0)$ and staying (weakly) under the diagonal.

In [2] the first two authors and Monica Vazirani defined an equivalence relation \sim on $\text{Inv}(dm, dn)$ and a bijection

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Inv}(dm, dn) / \sim \rightarrow \text{Dyck}(dm, dn),$$

such that $\dim \Delta = \delta - \mathbf{dinv}(\mathcal{D}(\Delta))$ for all $\Delta \in \text{Inv}(nd, md)$.

We prove the following result:

Theorem

Every equivalence class contains a unique admissible representative.

In particular, one gets the following simple formula for the Poincaré polynomial of the Compactified Jacobian:

Corollary

The Poincaré polynomial of \overline{JC} is given by

$$P_{\overline{JC}}(t) = \sum_{D \in \text{Dyck}(nd, md)} t^{2(\delta - \mathbf{dinv}(D))}$$

The rectangular q, t -Catalan is defined by

$$C_{nd, md}(q, t) = \sum_{D \in \text{Dyck}(dn, dm)} q^{\text{area}(D)} t^{\mathbf{dinv}(D)}.$$

The Compositional Rational Shuffle Theorem, conjectured in [1] and proved in [4], implies that $C_{nd, md}(q, t)$ is symmetric in q and t . In particular, one gets an even simpler formula for the Poincaré polynomial of \overline{JC} :

Corollary

The Poincaré polynomial of \overline{JC} is given by

$$P_{\overline{JC}}(t) = \sum_{D \in \text{Dyck}(nd, md)} t^{2(\delta - \text{area}(D))}$$

Bibliography

- [1] F. Bergeron, A. Garsia, E. S. Leven, and G. Xin, Compositional (km, kn) -shuffle conjectures, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 14, 4229–4270.
- [2] E. Gorsky, M. Mazin, M. Vazirani. Rational Dyck paths in the non relatively prime case. Electron. J. Combin. 24 (2017), no. 3, Paper No. 3.61, 29 pp.
- [3] E. Gorsky, M. Mazin, A. Oblomkov, Generic Curves and non-coprime Catalans, arXiv:2210.12569.
- [4] A. Mellit. Toric braids and (m, n) -parking functions. Duke Math. J., 170 (2021), no. 18, 4123–4169.