On linear intervals in the alt ν-Tamari lattices

Cesar Ceballos☾ & Clément Chenevière☼

☾Institute of Geometry, TU Graz, Graz, Austria.

☼IRMA, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France & Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum, Germany.

In a poset, when two elements P and Q are comparable, the interval $[P, Q]$ is the subset of elements R that satisfy $P \leqslant R \leqslant Q$. The simplest intervals are those which are totally ordered. They are called **linear intervals**. Intervals of the form $[P, P]$ are called trivial and are always linear. Given a lattice path ν, the **ν-Tamari lattice** and the **ν-Dyck lattice** are two natural examples of partial order structures on the set of lattice paths that lie weakly above ν. In this work, we introduce a more general family of lattices, called **alt ν-Tamari lattices**, which contains these two examples as particular cases. Unexpectedly, we show that all these lattices have the same number of linear intervals.

The ν-Dyck lattices

A lattice path ν consisting of a finite number of north and east unit steps can be encoded by the sequence of its consecutive east steps.

A **ν-path** μ is a lattice path using north and east steps, with the same endpoints as ν, that is weakly above ν.

The **ν-Dyck lattice** Dyck_ν of size n is the poset on ν-paths where $P \leqslant Q$ if Q is weakly above P.



Example

The dark brown path $\mu = NNEENEEE = (0, 0, 2, 1, 2)$ is weakly above the blue path $\nu = ENEENEENN = (1, 2, 0, 2, 0)$.

An interval $[P, Q]$ in Dyck_ν is a **left interval** if Q is obtained from P by transforming a subpath $E^\ell N$ into NE^ℓ for some $\ell \geqslant 1$.

It is a **right interval** if Q is obtained from P by transforming a subpath EN^ℓ into $N^\ell E$ for some $\ell \geqslant 1$.

Proposition

Left and right intervals are exactly all non trivial linear intervals in the ν-Dyck lattices.



Example

A left interval of length 2 in Dyck_ν for $\nu = ENEENNEEN$.



Example

The ν-Dyck lattices for $\nu_1 = ENEEN$ (left) and $\nu_2 = ENEENN$ (right). We omit the commas and parentheses in the labels of the paths.

Dyck_{ν_1} has $7, 8, 4$, and 1 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively. Dyck_{ν_2} has $16, 24, 16$, and 3 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively.

The ν-Tamari lattices

The **ν-altitude** $\mathrm{alt}_\nu(p)$ of a lattice point p of a ν-path μ is the maximum number of horizontal steps that can be added to the right of p without crossing ν.

A **ν-rotation** $\mu \lessdot_\nu \mu'$ consists of switching the east step of a valley of a ν-path μ with the ν-excursion following it.

The **ν-Tamari lattice** Tam_ν is the reflexive transitive closure of ν-rotations.



Example

The rotation operation of a ν-path for the path $\nu = ENEENNEEN$. Each point is labelled with its ν-altitude.

The ν-Tamari lattice can also be described as the reflexive transitive closure of ν-rotations on ν-trees.

Two lattice points are **ν-incompatible** if one is strictly northeast of the other and the rectangle they define does not cross ν.

A **ν-tree** is a maximal collection of ν-compatible points above ν in the smallest rectangle containing ν. We can define **ν-rotations** of a ν-path as below:



Example

The rotation operation of a ν-tree for the path $\nu = ENEENNEEN$.



Example

The ν-Tamari lattices for $\nu_1 = ENEEN$ (left) and $\nu_2 = ENEENN$ (right).

Tam_{ν_1} has $7, 8, 4$, and 1 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively. Tam_{ν_2} has $16, 24, 16$, and 3 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively.

The alt ν-Tamari lattices

The ν-Dyck and the ν-Tamari lattices are very similar: covering relations consist of exchanging the east step of a valley with a subpath that follows it. In fact, they fit into a family of posets that we call the alt ν-Tamari lattices.

For a path $\nu = (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_k)$, an **increment vector** with respect to ν is $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ with $0 \leqslant \delta_i \leqslant \nu_i, \forall i$. We set $\delta(E) = -1$ for an east step and $\delta(N_i) = \delta_i$ for the i-th north step in order to define **δ-excursions** and **δ-rotations**.

The **alt ν-Tamari lattice** $\mathrm{Tam}_\nu(\delta)$ is the reflexive transitive closure of δ-rotations.



Example

Two δ-excursions for $\nu = (3, 2, 1, 1, 0)$ and $\delta = (2, 1, 0, 0)$. The dotted path is $\bar\nu = (4, 2, 1, 0, 0)$.

The alt ν-Tamari lattice $\mathrm{Tam}_\nu(\delta)$ can also be described with rotations on trees. Let $\bar\nu$ be the path with the same endpoints as ν such that $\bar\nu_i = \delta_i, \forall i$.

A **(δ, ν)-tree** is the image of a ν-path under the right flushing with respect to $\bar\nu$.



Example

The (δ, ν)-tree that corresponds to the path of the example on the left for $\nu = (3, 2, 1, 1, 0)$ and $\delta = (2, 1, 0, 0)$.



Example

The alt ν-Tamari lattices for $\nu_1 = ENEEN, \delta_1 = (1, 0)$ (left) and $\nu_2 = ENEENN, \delta_2 = (1, 0, 0)$ (right).

$\mathrm{Tam}_{\nu_1}(\delta_1)$ has $7, 8, 4$, and 1 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively. $\mathrm{Tam}_{\nu_2}(\delta_2)$ has $16, 24, 16$, and 3 linear intervals of length $0, 1, 2$, and 3, respectively.

Results and bijections

Theorem 1

The alt ν-Tamari lattice $\mathrm{Tam}_\nu(\delta)$ is indeed a lattice. It is the restriction of $\mathrm{Tam}_{\bar\nu}$ to the interval of (δ, ν)-trees.

Similarly as in the ν-Dyck lattice, we can define **left intervals** and **right intervals** in the alt ν-Tamari lattices, and all linear intervals are either trivial, left or right intervals.

Moreover, we can defined $\llcorner$-marked and $\urcorner$-marked (δ, ν)-trees, in bijection with left and right intervals in $\mathrm{Tam}_\nu(\delta)$, respectively.

Theorem 2

For a fixed path ν, all alt ν-Tamari lattices have the same number of right intervals and the same number of left intervals.

In particular, the number of linear intervals in $\mathrm{Tam}_\nu(\delta)$ is independant of the choice of δ.

For two different increment vectors δ and δ', the left flushings provide a bijection between (δ, ν)-trees and (δ', ν)-trees. This bijection extends naturally to $\llcorner$-marked trees.



Example

Bijection between left intervals for $\nu = (1, 2, 0, 3, 2, 0)$, with increment vectors $\delta^{max} = (2, 0, 3, 2, 0)$ (left) and $\delta = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ (right).

A similar bijection between (δ, ν)-trees and (δ', ν)-trees can be described where this time we preserve the number of nodes (not on the left border) in the columns. This bijection extends naturally to $\urcorner$-marked trees.



Example

Bijection between right intervals for $\nu = (1, 2, 0, 3, 2, 0)$, with increment vectors $\delta^{max} = (2, 0, 3, 2, 0)$ (left) and $\delta = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ (right).

[1] C. Ceballos and C. Chenevière. On linear intervals in the alt ν-tamari lattices. 2023. arXiv:2305.02250.

[2] C. Ceballos, A. Padrol, and C. Sarmiento. The ν-Tamari lattice via ν-trees, ν-bracket vectors, and subword complexes. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 27(1):Paper No. 1.14, 31, 2020.

[3] C. Chenevière. Linear intervals in the Tamari and the Dyck lattices and in the alt-Tamari posets. 2022. arXiv:2209.00418.

[4] L.-F. Préville-Ratelle and X. Viennot. The enumeration of generalized Tamari intervals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(7):5219–5239, 2017.

Email addresses: ☾cesar.ceballos@tugraz.at & ☼ccheneviere@unistra.fr